it is fair and decent. And what you have in your heart is a secondary issue. It is for you and whoever your religious leader might be or your psychoanalyst. What I care about is that you do not shut me out of a job; you do not shut me out of a home; you do not shut me out of the democratic process. So that in the end matters is what you do, not what you think.

**Different groups have different needs**

The third difference that I see in this strategy, and this is a very important point that Fiona spoke about earlier this morning, is that we are for the first time across government recognising that different groups have different needs. For most of my time I’ve worked in the private sector, but I’ve been involved in the voluntary sector and so on, and when people talked about race they basically talked – even though the words might not have said this, but in their minds they were talking – about white people and people who were not white. Actually we now know that this is not good enough. We now know that if you look at education, you’ve got employment, you look at virtually every walk of life, the stratification and the differences are not just about white and not-white. Different groups, different communities may have very different needs, and indeed because we made that distinction we ignored the needs of certain communities of identity.

Obviously in the last 18 months or so we’ve begun to understand that Muslims are not just Asians and Asians are not just Muslims; though for many years, actually, if you listened even to the most enlightened people in the race relations field, these two were conflated. We know that in this country half of all Asians are not Muslims, and a third of Muslims are not Asians. So recognising the difference and the specificity of Muslim identity is absolutely critical, as is – and I was glad to hear this raised – the fact that if you get away from the white/non-white distinction we also begin to recognise the disadvantage suffered, for example, by gypsies and travellers. I think this is absolutely critical for what we actually do as opposed to what we say.

**Changing practice – a platform for real change?**

The last point I want to make, which I think is probably the most profound and will make the most real difference, and I want to say a little bit about it because it is probably the least exciting, the least interesting, the least headline grabbing and the least susceptible to sloganeering, but as I said to my colleagues at the CRE, if this is the only thing that we play a part in delivering while I am there it will be the one thing that I will say it was worth my having been there for. Fiona referred earlier on to the issue of targets and public service agreements. At the heart of this is a very simple point. We can be the police officer for this but in the end things will change not because we rush around brandishing a big stick or issuing press statements, but because every organisation, public and private, changes its own practice.

The way that the government is now giving leadership on this is, I think, very straightforward. Now that Whitehall is driven by targets the government is recognising it has got to do something about those targets because that is what drives the money. That’s what drives the energy. That’s what gives civil servants who are dictating policies their loadstar. But the problem is, at the moment what we’ve done is we’ve said let’s make things a little better for ethnic minorities. But that means that government has been able to hit its education targets on GCSEs year after year without significantly shifting the position of some ethnic minorities, particularly Pakistani heritage peoples, African-Caribbean boys, gypsies and travellers, and it can continue doing that for years and years and years. The big targets can be hit without moving the position for ethnic minorities at all. Ditto in health. We can hit the headline targets for chronic heart disease and so on without moving the position for mortality of infants in some south Asian communities one percentage point. Ditto employment and young black men, and ditto in housing and gypsies and travellers.

And that is why this arcane business of targets is very important, because what the strategy says is that the targets are no longer just about making things a little bit better for ethnic minorities. They are about something quite different. They are about making the experience and the access to services of ethnic minorities more like that of the majority community or the average. That is to say, we are not just going to make things a little better for African-Caribbeans but we want African-Caribbeans to have the same as everybody else, and this is a very very profound difference. In the end what I hope it will lead to is pressure on the system to reform itself, not just to hand out sops and little programmes that say we are doing something for you.

This is the equivalent of the change in local authorities which has happened in some places but not others, where the local authority year after year does festivals, it has programmes and education and so on, but when you go into the town hall there is not a single director at senior level from an ethnic minority. We have to move from a situation where we are offering tokenism in response to disadvantage to where we are offering real change. The point about this strategy is that it’s not going to do it, the words are not going to do it, by itself, but you have to start in the right place. We know where we want to go. We want to go into the place where in 20 years’ time I’m not coming back to you and saying what many of us – and I know people around this hall – we’ve been having these conversations for most of my adult life and one of the things that comes up again and again and we all say to each other is ‘it hasn’t changed’. Well, we can’t do that any more. We mustn’t be in a position to do that any more.

What I hope, what I think this strategy does is give us a platform to start, and in 20 years to be in a place where we don’t have to say to some of the young people represented here today, ‘sorry, we thought about it but we didn’t put the actions into practice and we’re sorry actually, not much has changed’. That’s what we’ve got to do, make it change.

---

**Pat Younge**, Head of Programmes and Planning at BBC Sport and Runnymede trustee, introduced Paul Elliott as the speaker who launched session three of the proceedings.

**PY:** The issues of cohesion, diversity and equality have been very much to the forefront in sport recently and have actually helped move sport from the back page to the front pages of our newspapers: what happened to the England team in Spain; Ren Atkinson’s outburst; what the Spanish coach has been saying about various black Premiership players; this has all been front-page news. I’m delighted that our next speaker has experience, not just in the UK but across Europe in the whole area of football, which is the UK’s key national game.

Paul Elliott, MBE, is a former professional footballer whose career started in the late 1970s. He was an outstanding player and he now operates in the media as a summariser for Eurosport, Channel 4 and for radio and Italian television. Paul represents the Commission for Racial Equality, the Professional Footballers’ Association, the Scottish Footballers’ Association, the Charlton Charitable Trust, the Kick It Out campaign and Football Against Racism in Europe. So he has a wide range of experience in a number of countries.

Paul Elliott began with:

I’d like to thank Pat, Runnymede and the Home Office for inviting me here today to say a few words about my experiences of race equality and the community cohesion agenda. I would like to express my support of the government’s strategy and the positive outcomes it envisages in light of the current climate of inequality, intolerance and reduced life-chances for disadvantaged individuals within society.

The subject-matter of the strategy is very close to my heart, not only because of my identity in terms of colour and racial group, but because of my faith, age, class and gender.

For me, respect, opportunity, dignity and tolerance are key levers for me to begin addressing the complexity of my own identity and that of others. My professional career, as some of you may be aware, started in what is often termed ‘the beautiful game’ of football. The game, to some degree, reflects and mirrors the wider society in terms of its structures and lack of equality.

The late 1970s and early 1980s were certainly divided, and I often found myself at the end of abuse directed at my colour or race, and feeling isolated from the game as a whole and the wider society which I thought I was part of.

Paul’s speech – full of wry reflection, anecdotes and solid common sense – was a graphic depiction of the divisions in sport and how they can be overcome. It can be read in full on our website [www.runnymedetrust.org].